JOHN C. CRUDEN, Chief JAMES R. MacAYEAL, Trial Attorney... RECEIVED Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice MAY 1 8 1994 P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station RICHARD W. WIEKING CLEHK U.S. DISTRICT COUNT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 202/616-8777 5 MICHAEL J. YAMAGUCHI FILED 6 United States Attorney PATRICK RAMIREZ S. BUPARA Assistant United States Attorney Northern District of California 450 Golden Gate Ave. 8 THE COLOR San Francisco, CA 94102 415/556-1126 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America 10 LAWRENCE S. BAZEL 11 Beveridge & Diamond 12 One Sansome Street Suite 3400 13 San Francisco, California 94104 415/983-7703 14 Attorneys for Defendants CTS Printex, Inc. and ADN Corporation 15 16 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 17 18 19 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 20 Civil Action No. No. C-92-20665-JW 21 Plaintiff, 22 CONSENT DECREE 23 CTS PRINTEX, INC. and ADN CORPORATION. 24 Defendants. 25 26 27 1911 17 G 28

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	I.	<b>BACKGROUND</b>
3	II.	JURISDICTION 4
4	III.	PARTIES BOUND 4
5	IV.	<b>DEFINITIONS</b>
6	v.	REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS 8
7	VI.	PAILURE TO MAKE TIMELY PAYMENTS
8	VII.	COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFF
9	VIII.	COVENANTS BY DEFENDANTS
10	ix.	EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT: CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION 10
11	x.	NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS
12	xI.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
13	XII.	BIGNATORIES/SERVICE
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
19		
20		
21	-	
22		• •
23		
24	-	
25	-	<b>-</b>
26		<del>-</del>
27	Consess 5	-
28	Consent D U.S. v. C	ecree TS Printex - 2

### I. BACKGROUND

WHEREAS, the United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a complaint in this matter pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, as amended ("CERCLA"), against CTS Printex, Inc. and ADN Corporation;

WHEREAS, the United States in its complaint seeks reimbursement of response costs incurred and to be incurred by EPA for response actions in connection with the CTS Printex Superfund Site in Mountain View, California;

WHEREAS, the United States alleges that during the time that defendants owned and operated the Site, hazardous substances, as defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), were disposed of and released from or at the Site, and that the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site has caused the United States to incur response costs not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan and such costs will continue to be incurred;

WHEREAS, the United States and the Settling defendants agree and this Court, by entering this Decree, finds that settlement of this matter will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest;

Consent Decree
U.S. v. CTS Printex

- 3 -

THEREFORE, with the consent of the parties to this Decree. it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

#### II. **JURISDICTION**

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaint, the Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District and shall not challenge the entry of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

#### III. PARTIES BOUND

This Consent Decree is binding upon the United States 2. and upon the Settling Defendants and their successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status, including but not limited to any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter the status or responsibilities of the Settling Defendants under this Consent Decree. Until this Consent Decree is terminated, each Settling Defendant agrees to provide its successors and assigns written notice of this Consent Decree and to provide to EPA, in accordance with Section X of this Decree, notice of any change in corporate or legal status or transfer or assignment of a 25 - substantial portion of its assets. Nothing in this Consent Decree is intended or shall be construed as an admission of

Consent Decree

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

liability or proportionate share of liability in relation to any release of hazardous substance at the Site, or in relation to any response costs incurred by any person in connection with the Site.

## IV. DEFINITIONS

- 3. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree which are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in any appendix attached hereto the following definitions shall apply:
- a. "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 et seq.
  - b. "Consent Decree" shall mean this Consent Decree.
- c. "Day" shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal Holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.
- d. "EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental
  Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of
  the United States.
- e. "Interest" shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund established under subchapter A of chapter 98 of Title 26 of the U.S. Code, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). In

Consent Decree -- U.S. v. CTS Printex

- "National Contingency Plan" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, including but not limited to any amendments thereto.
- "Oversight Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs, that EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice on behalf of EPA in fact incur in connection with the Site for reviewing or developing plans, reports and other items in connection with the Site, overseeing remedial design or remedial actions undertaken by persons other than EPA at the Site, or implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Consent Decree or other enforcement related costs, including but not limited to payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, costs of attorney time, costs of obtaining access to the Site including any just compensation, and any payments to the State through a cooperative agreement; provided that oversight costs shall be limited to those costs incurred by EPA after July 31, 1991, and to those costs incurred by the Department of Justice after the effective date of this Consent Decree. The indirect cost allocation method in effect after the effective date of EPA's proposed Cost Recovery Rule (57 Fed. Req. -34742-34755, August 6, 1992), or of any other cost recovery rule that significantly increases the indirect costs charged by EPA,

Consent Decree

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 I

- h. "Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an arabic numeral or an upper case letter.
- i. "Parties" shall mean the United States and each and every Settling Defendant.
- j. "Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs, that EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice on behalf of EPA have incurred in connection with the Site through July 31, 1991, including any costs reimbursed to the State through a cooperative agreement for the Site, plus all direct and indirect costs incurred by the U.S. Department of Justice through the effective date of this Decree.
- k. "Record of Decision" or "ROD" shall mean the record of decision relating to the Site signed on June 28, 1991 by the Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX, and all attachments thereto.
- 1. "Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a roman numeral.
- m. "Settling Defendants" shall mean CTS Printex, Inc. and ADN Corporation.
- n. "Site" shall mean the facility known as the CTS Printex Superfund Site, located between Plymouth and Colony Streets east of Sierra Vista Avenue at 1904, 1940 and 1950 Colony Street and at 1905, 1911, 1921 and 1931 Plymouth Street in Mountain View, California.

o. "State" shall mean the State of California and shall include, without limitation, the California State Water Resources
Control Board and any Regional Water Quality Control Board with jurisdiction over the Site.

p. "United States" shall mean the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Department of Justice acting on behalf of the EPA.

## V. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS

### 4. Payment of Past Response Costs to the United States.

- a. The United States has alleged and the parties agree that all Past Response Costs are not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan. The parties agree that the response action for the Site identified in the Record of Decision is not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan.
- b. Within 30 days of entry of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants shall pay to the United States \$172,500 in settlement of all claims for relief in the Complaint in this matter for Past Response Costs by Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT" or wire transfer) to the U.S. Department of Justice lockbox bank, referencing the CERCLA Number 9 H5 and the U.S.A.O. file number. Payment shall be made in accordance with instructions provided by the Plaintiff to the Settling Defendants upon execution of the Consent Decree. EFTs must be received at the U.S. D.O.J. lockbox bank by 11:00 A.M. (Eastern Time) in order to be credited on that day.

## 5. Payment of Oversight Costs.

- a. Consistent with the provisions of this Paragraph 5, Settling Defendants shall reimburse the United States for all Oversight Costs incurred by the United States not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan. Defendants shall be jointly and severally liable for such Oversight Costs.
- Payment. The United States will send Settling Defendants a bill requiring payment that includes a standard Regionally-prepared cost summary, which includes direct and indirect costs incurred by EPA. Except as provided in Paragraph 6, Settling Defendants shall make all payments within 30 days of Settling Defendants' receipt of each bill requiring payment. The Settling Defendants shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the form of a certified check or checks made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund" and referencing CERCLA Number 9 H5 and DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-849. The Settling Defendants shall forward the certified check(s) to EPA Region IX, ATTN: Superfund Accounting, P.O. Box 360863M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251, and shall send copies of the check(s) to the United States as specified in Section XIII and Gregory Pennington, Mail Code H-7-4, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105. At the request of any Settling Defendant, EPA agrees to send copies of each bill, concurrently with those sent to the Settling Defendants, to an additional recipient identified by that Settling Defendant.

Consent Decree

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

U.S. -v. CTS Printex

6. Dispute Resolution for Oversight Costs.

- a. The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph shall be the exclusive mechanism for resolving disputes regarding the Settling Defendants' obligation to reimburse the United States for its Oversight Costs.
- b. <u>Standard</u>. A Settling Defendant may contest payment of any Oversight Costs billed by the United States on the basis that the United States made an accounting error, that costs are not Oversight Costs or that costs are inconsistent with the NCP.
  - c. Dispute Resolution Procedure for Costs.
- (1) Notice. Any objection to the payment of the United States' Oversight Costs shall be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of the bill and must be sent to the United States in accordance with Section X. Any such objection (hereinafter referred to as the "Notice of Objection") shall specifically identify the contested Oversight Costs and the basis for objection.
- (2) <u>Payment of Undisputed Amounts</u>. In the event of an objection to some but not all Oversight Costs, the Settling Defendants shall within the 30 day period pay all uncontested Oversight Costs to the United States in the manner described in Paragraph 5.
- (3) <u>Informal Dispute Resolution</u>. Any dispute with respect to Oversight Costs shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the United States and the Settling Defendants. If the dispute is not resolved by

informal dispute resolution within 14 days, or such other time as agreed by the parties, EPA shall set forth its position in writing and send it to the objecting party. EPA's position shall be binding unless Formal Dispute Resolution is invoked by the objecting party within 30 days of receipt of the written position. Upon request by any Settling Defendant, EPA shall within 20 days provide Settling Defendants with all additional documentation directly related to the disputed Oversight Costs, subject to the limitations of the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act. If EPA fails to provide the requested information within 20 days, all deadlines relating to the disputed costs shall be extended by the added time EPA takes to provide the information.

# (4) Formal Dispute Resolution.

- (a) <u>Initiation</u>. Any party may commence formal dispute resolution by sending a Notice of Formal Dispute
  Resolution to the other party to the dispute. The Notice of
  Formal Dispute Resolution shall be accompanied by a written
  Statement of Position by the party who serves the Notice, stating the basis of that party's position and citing all factual data, analysis, opinion or other material on which that party relies to support its position. The opposing party shall have 30 days in which to serve a Response setting forth the same information supporting its position.
- (b) <u>Administrative Record-and Decision</u>. EPA shall maintain an administrative record of any dispute as to

Consent Decree
U.S. -v. CTS Printex

Oversight Costs for which formal dispute resolution has been initiated. The administrative record shall include the disputed bill and cost summary sent by EPA to the Settling Defendants, the Notice of Objection served by the objecting party, the Notice of Formal Dispute Resolution and accompanying Statement of Position, the opposing party's Response, and any other documents or information sent to EPA by a Settling Defendant for inclusion in the record or relied on by EPA in reaching an administrative resolution of the dispute. The Director of the Waste Management Division, EPA Region IX, will issue a final administrative decision determining whether the disputed Oversight Costs, or any part of them, shall be disallowed as inconsistent with the NCP or as the result of an accounting error.

decision shall be binding unless the Settling Defendant appeals EPA's administrative decision pursuant to the preceding subparagraph to this Court within 30 days of receipt of EPA's decision. The appeal shall be by means of a motion before this Court and shall set forth with particularity the basis for the relief requested. The Court's review of EPA's decision shall be limited to EPA's administrative record. Applicable principles of administrative law shall govern whether any supplemental materials may be considered by the Court. The Court shall uphold EPA's decision unless it is arbitrary and capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law.

Consent Decree
U.S. v. CTS Printex

1 d 3 r 4 d 5 C

d. Payment Following Dispute Resolution. Payments determined to be owing to the United States following dispute resolution shall be paid to the United States in the manner described in Paragraph 5, within 30 days after receipt of the Court's decision or, if the decision is not timely appealed, within 30 days of EPA's decision.

# VI. FAILURE TO MAKE TIMELY PAYMENTS

- 7. <u>Interest on Late Payments</u>. In the event that any payments required by Section V are not made when due, Interest (as provided for in Paragraph 4) shall accrue on the unpaid balance from the due date.
- 8. If the United States must bring an action to collect any payment required by this consent decree, the Settling Defendants shall reimburse the United States for all costs of such action, including but not limited to costs of attorney time.
- 9. Payments made under Paragraphs 7-8 shall be in addition to any other remedies or sanctions, if any, available to Plaintiffs by virtue of Settling Defendants' failure to make timely payments required by this Decree.
- amounts owed the United States under this Consent Decree are joint and several. In the event of the failure of any one or more Settling Defendants to make the payments required under this Consent Decree, the remaining defendant shall be responsible for such payments.

#### VII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFF

Covenant Not to Sue. Except as specifically provided 11. in Paragraph 12, the United States covenants not to sue Settling Defendants with respect to any claims for relief or causes of action set forth in the Complaint in this matter for Past Response Costs and Oversight Costs as defined under this Consent This covenant not to sue extends only to the Settling Defendants and does not extend to any other person. With respect to Past Response Costs, this covenant not to sue shall take effect upon receipt by the United States of the payment of \$172,500 pursuant to Sections V and VI of this Consent Decree. With respect to Oversight Costs, this covenant not to sue shall apply to particular Oversight Costs billed upon receipt by the United States of the payments for such bill as required by Sections V and VI of this Consent Decree.

#### Reservation of Rights 12.

General. The covenant not to sue set forth in the preceding paragraph does not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified therein. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants with respect to all other matters. Except as provided in the preceding paragraph, nothing contained herein shall in any way limit or restrict the response and enforcement authority of the United States to initiate -appropriate action, either judicial or administrative, under Sections 104, 106, and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. \$\$ 9604, 9606,

Consent Decree 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

and 9607, or any other provision of law, against Settling

Defendants or against any other person or entity not a party to
this Decree.

- b. <u>Specific reservations</u>. The covenant not to sue set forth in Paragraph 10 above does not apply, <u>inter alia</u>, to the following:
  - (1) claims based upon failure of Settling Defendants to meet the requirements of this Consent Decree;
  - (2) claims for damages to natural resources, as defined in Section 101(6) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(6);
  - (3) claims for costs incurred by any natural resources trustees;
  - (4) claims based upon criminal liability;
  - (5) claims for response costs incurred by any federal agencies other than those specified within the definition of "United States" in this Consent Decree;
  - (6) claims for injunctive relief or administrative order enforcement under Section 106 of CERCLA;
    - (7) claims for costs incurred or to be incurred by the United States in connection with the Site that are not within the definition of Past Response Costs or Oversight Costs set forth in Paragraph 3.

## VIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

13. Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the

United States with respect to Past Response Costs or Oversight Costs, including, but not limited to, any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 111, 112, or 113, or any other provision of law, or any claim against the United States, including any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States pursuant to CERCLA Sections 107 and 113 related to the Past Response Costs or Oversight Costs, except as provided in Paragraph 6. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

### IX. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT: CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

- 14. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Consent Decree. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party hereto.
- 15. With regard to claims for contribution against Settling Defendants for matters addressed in this Consent Decree, the Parties hereto agree that the Settling Defendants are entitled to

27 Consent Decree

such protection from contribution actions or claims as is provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2).

Of claim for contribution brought by them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will notify the United States in writing no later than 60 days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim. Settling Defendants also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will notify in writing the United States within 30 days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States within 10 days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within 10 days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial for matters related to this Consent Decree.

17. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the

28 I

covenants not to sue set forth in Section VII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs).

### X. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

18. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, notice is required to be given or a document is required to be sent by one party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, and the Settling Defendants, respectively.

13

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

### As to the United States:

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 Re: 90-11-2-849

19

### As to EPA:

20

21

22

James C. Hansen, Section Chief
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, H-6-3
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

23

24

# As to Settling Defendants:

Lawrence S. Bazel, Esq.
25 Beveridge & Diamond
One Sansome Street, Suite 3400
San Francisco, California 94104
For CTS Printex, Inc.

27

28

Consent Decree
U.S. v. CTS Printex

- 18 -

Nancy J. Casale, Esq.
Cooper, White & Cooper
1333 N. California Boulevard, Suite 450
Walnut Creek, California 94596
For ADN Corporation

# XI. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

19. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree.

# XIII. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

- 20. Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant to this Consent Decree and the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the United States Department of Justice certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to this document.
- 21. Each Settling Defendant shall identify, on the attached signature page, the name and address of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree.

so ordered this 20th day of May, 19\_.

United States District Judge

Consent Decree
U.S. V. CTS Printex

- 19 -

1	
2	The undersigned enter into this Consent Decree on behalf of the United States in the matter of United States v. CTS Printex.
3	Inc. et al., No. C-92-20665-JW (N.D. Cal.) relating to the CTS Printex Superfund Site.
3	rincex superium site.
4	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
5	
6	1442 1684 (Abrel 17)
	Date: May 2 1999  JOHN C. CRUDEN  JOHN C. CRUDEN
7	chief,
8	Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources
9	Division
10	U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530
11	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
12	JAMES / R. MACAYEAL
13	Trial Attorney
14	Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources
	Division
15	U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611
16	Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044-7611
17	washington, be 20044 /011
18	
10	5/18/94 PATRICK RAMIREZ S. BUPARA
19	Assistant United States Attorney
20	Northern District of California 450 Golden Gate Ave.
21	San Francisco, CA 94102
22	• -
23	
24	-
25	- <u> </u>
26	<b>-</b>
	- ·

Consent Decree
28 U.S. v. CTS Printex

27

- 20 -

Consent Decree

U.S. v. CTS Printex

Regional Administrator
Region IX
U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency
75 Hawthorne Street

ROGER KLEIN

Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco CA 94105

San Francisco CA 94105

Defendant CTS Printex, Inc. enters into this Consent Decree, and the undersigned represents that he or she has authority to enter into this Consent Decree of behalf of Defendant CTS Printex, Inc., in the matter of United States v. CTS Printex. Inc. et al., No. C-92-20665-JW (N.D. Cal.) relating to the CTS Printex Superfund Site. FOR DEFENDANT CTS PRINTEX, INC. Date: April 12. irector and Secretary [Position] 

Consent Decree

U.S. v. CTS Printex

Defendant ADN Corporation enters into this Consent Decree, and the undersigned represents that he or she has authority to enter into this Consent Decree of behalf of Defendant ADN Corporation, in the matter of <u>United States v. CTS Printex</u>, Inc. et al., No. C-92-20665-JW (N.D. Cal.) relating to the CTS Printex Superfund Site. FOR DEFENDANT ADN CORPORATION Date: 4-18-94 EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER [Position] 

Consent Decree
U.S. v. CTS Printex